UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 06102748 8

CRIMINAL LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume 2

Martha Shaffer
Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2006-2007

These materials are distributed solely for use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

Storage

KE
8808.5
.S53
2006
v.2
c.1

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto



https://archive.org/details/criminallawcases02shaf_4

Table of Contents

4. MISTAKE 567

4(a) Mistake of Fact 567

4(a)(i) The Relationship Between Fault and Mistake of Fact 567

R. v. Beaver 567

4(a)(ii) Constitutional Considerations 573

R. v. Nguyen; R. v. Hess 573

R. v. Darrach 579

4(a)(iii) Mistaking One Offence for Another 582

R. v. Kundeus 582

4(b) Mistake of Law 590

R. v. Campbell and Mlynarchuk 590

R. v. Molis 594

R. v. Docherty 598

Lévis (City) v. Tétreault; Lévis (City) v. 2629-4470 Québec Inc. 604

R. v. Pontes 613

Further Reading 624

5. FORMS OF LIABILITY 625

5(a) Aiding and Abetting 625

5(a)(i) Actus Reus: Degree of Participation 625

Wilcox v. Jeffery 625

R. v. Kulbacki 627

** R. v. Dunlop and Sylvester* 629

** R. v. Russell* 637

5(a)(ii) Mens Rea: "Purpose" 644

R. v. Poitras 644

R. v. Hibbert 647

R. v. Greyeyes 662

Questions 668

5(b) Common Intention 670

5(b)(i) The Elements of Liabilty Through Common Intention 670

Note: R. v. Jackson 670

5(b)(ii) The Defence of Abandonment 673

5(b)(iii) Constitutional Validity of s. 21(2) 676

R. v. Logan 676

5(c) Other Forms of Party Liability 682

Co-Perpetrator Liability 682

Counselling 683

5(d) Liability of Corporations 684

5(d)(i) The Common Law Doctrine 684

Canadian Dredge & Dock Co. v. The Queen 684

5(d)(ii) The Criminal Code's New Approach 690

6. INCHOATE OFFENCES 691

6(a) Attempts: The Mental Element 691

R. v. Ancio 691

R. v. Logan 697

Questions on the Mental Element for Attempts 699

6(b) Attempts: The Physical Element 700

R. v. Robinson 700

R. v. Barker 703

R. v. Cline 709

R. v. James 711

R. v. Sorrell and Bondett 712

R. v. Deutsch 715

6(c) Impossible Attempts 719

Note: The English Law of Impossible Attempts 719

United States of America v. Dynar 725

R. v. Williams 743
Further Reading on Attempts 746

6(d) Other Inchoate Offences 747

R. v. Hamilton 748

7. INTOXICATION 761

** R. v. Daviault* 761
NOTE: R. v. Robinson 791
Question 793
Further Reading for Chapter 7 793

8. CAPACITY-BASED DEFENCES 794

8(a) Doli Incapax 794

8(b) Mental Disorder: Substantive Issues 795

M'Naghten's Case 795
** Note: Four English Cases on Insane and Non-Insane Automatism* 798
** R. v. Cooper* 805
R. v. Abbey 815
** Question* 821
** R. v. Chaulk* 822
** Notes and Questions* 832

8(c) Mental Disorder: Procedural Issues 835

8(c)(i) Who Can Raise the Issue of Mental Disorder? ***835***
8(c)(ii) Constitutionality of the Presumption of Sanity ***837***
R. v. Chaulk 837
8(c)(iii) Effect of a Verdict of Not Criminally Responsible on account of Mental Disorder ***844***

8(d) Automatism: Sane or Insane? 846

R. v. K. 846
** R. v. Rabey* 849
** Questions on Rabey* 858

R. v. Parks *860*
** R. v. Stone* *868*
Further Reading *876*

9. NECESSITY AND DURESS **878**

9(a) Necessity **878**

Kant, "The Right of Necessity" (Ius Necessitatis) *878*
Model Penal Code (excerpt) *878*
** R. v. Dudley and Stephens* *879*
Perka v. The Queen *884*
R. v. Latimer *902*
Questions *910*
Further Reading *911*

9(b) Duress **912**

The Queen v. Carker (No. 2) *912*
R. v. Hibbert *915*
R. v. Ruzic *920*
Further Reading *940*

10. SELF-DEFENCE **941**

10(a) Interpreting the Statutory Provisions **941**

R. v. Baxter *941*
NOTE: R. v. McIntosh *950*
NOTE: Excessive Force in Self-Defence *951*

10(b) Reasonable Apprehensions **955**

** R. v. Lavallee* *955*
** People v. Goetz* *970*
R. v. Pétel *972*
R. v. Cinous *977*

10(c) Unknown Justification 1004

R. v. Dadson 1004

Further Reading 1005

11. PROVOCATION 1006

** R. v. Hill 1006*

** R. v. Ly 1023*

R. v. Thibert 1026

** Questions on Provocation 1035*

Further Reading 1039